

# Cloud detection using SEVIRI IR channels for the GERB processing

### Alessandro.Ipe@oma.be & Luis Gonzalez Sotelino

Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium

CERES/GERB/ScaRaB Joint Science Team Meeting @ Princeton – October 22–25 2012



### Overview

Motivations

Constraints

Algorithm

Results

Comparisons

Further work

Motivations Constraints Algorithm Results Comparisons Further work



## **Motivations**

#### Motivations

Constraints

Algorithm

Results

Comparisons

Further work

- sceneID only relying on visible SEVIRI channels (for solar ADMs selection)

  sunglint saturates channels over ocean
  degraded cloud mask within sunglint area

  sceneID only provided during daytime

  users' request for cloud mask during nighttime
  - temporarily addressed by including MPEF CLM within L20 products



# Constraints

#### Motivations

- Constraints
- Algorithm
- Results
- Comparisons
- Further work

- GERB aim is to deliver climate recordsGERB products must remain stable
- Limited use of *uncontrolled* ancillary data
  Independence to NWP data
- Implementation of an IR cloud detection scheme instead of using MPEF or NWCSAF



# **Physics**

#### Motivations

Constraints

- Algorithm Physics
- Assumptions
- Scheme
- Initialization
- Results
- Comparisons
- Further work

- SEVIRI IR 8.7, 10.8 & 12.0 µm channels are most sensitive to clearsky & clouds
- Clouds are characterized by lower radiances (temperatures) than clearsky surfaces (warmer) except for snow & sea ice surfaces
- Aerosols are *generally* lowering IR radiances
- IR radiances are varying with viewing zenith angle, history (precipitation, cloud shadow) and state of atmosphere (profiles)



# Assumptions

Motivations	
-------------	--

- Constraints
- Algorithm
- Physics
- Assumptions
- Scheme
- Initialization
- Results
- Comparisons
- Further work

- Considering time-series of pixel-based BTs
  Temporal window for time-series set to 60 days
  Samples in time-series can be grouped into 3 classes:
  - 1. thick cold clouds (low BTs)
  - 2. thin or low clouds (high BTs)
  - 3. clearsky conditions (highest BTs)
- Tails of upper classes are overlapping
- No realtime ancillary data such as NWP fields

Cannot be applied to snow & sea ice surfaces



## Scheme

Motivations

Constraints

Algorithm

Physics

Assumptions

Scheme

Initialization

Results

Comparisons

Further work

Perform a *modified k*-means clustering:
1. Initialize the μ<sub>n</sub> and σ<sub>n</sub> for the 3 clusters
2. If initialization fails goto step 1 with 2 clusters and so on...

3. Classify all 60 BTs according to their nearest cluster with  $d(T, \mu_n, \sigma_n)$ 

- 4. Update  $\mu_n$  and  $\sigma_n$
- 5. Repeat from step 3 until all  $\mu_n$  do not significantly change ( $\Delta \mu_n < 0.01$  K)

 Metric d(T, µ<sub>n</sub>, σ<sub>n</sub>) = (T − µ<sub>n</sub>)<sup>2</sup>/2σ<sub>n</sub><sup>2</sup> + ln σ<sub>n</sub><sup>2</sup> if values in each class follow p<sub>n</sub>(T) = N(µ<sub>n</sub>, σ<sub>n</sub>)
 Initialization driven by physics (climatology)



### Scheme

Motivations

Constraints

Algorithm

Physics

Assumptions

Scheme

Initialization

Results

Comparisons

Further work

### • Final classification (of the most recent sample):



BT [K]

Can be seen as dynamical thresholding

CERES/GERB/ScaRaB Joint Science Team Meeting @ Princeton – October 22–25 2012



# Initialization

#### Motivations

Constraints

### Algorithm

Physics

Assumptions

Scheme

Initialization

Results

Comparisons

Further work

Assume that clearsky class is ∆ wide
∆ is only needed for starting the clustering
∆ is estimated from last 10 years of 6–hourly ERA–INTERIM surface skin temperatures





## Results

Motivations

Constraints

Algorithm

Results

Comparisons

Further work

- High correlation between 8.7, 10.8 & 12  $\mu$ m channels
- Clustering separately applied to each channel



March 11 2007 at 0:00 UTC



## Datasets

Μ	otiv	atio	ns

Constraints

Algorithm

Results

Comparisons Datasets

Merging scheme Results Limitations

Further work

Multispectral threshold schemes:
MPEF CLM: broadcast together with SEVIRI data and only for θ < 75°</li>
NWCSAF CMa: considered as truth for hourly March 11–17 2007

• Both use ancillary NWP data

- Both use spatial texture filtering as post-processing
- Reprocessed SEVIRI data for GERB cloud mask: effective IR radiances (ED02)



# Merging scheme

Motivations

Algorithm

Results

Comparisons

Datasets

Merging scheme

Results

Limitations

Further work

Geotype	Band [µm]	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	mean
ocean	8.7 10.8 12	84.47 84.50 83.36	86.47 86.49 85.52	86.38 86.39 85.57	85.96 85.86 84.74	85.77 85.87 84.80	86.13 86.33 85.37	86.38 86.51 85.32	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{85.94} \pm \textbf{0.52} \\ \textbf{85.99} \pm \textbf{0.54} \\ \textbf{84.95} \pm \textbf{0.59} \end{array}$
vegetation	8.7 10.8 12	88.49 89.06 89.45	87.93 88.66 89.10	88.34 88.98 89.42	88.19 89.16 89.83	86.49 87.63 88.43	86.70 87.93 88.84	85.44 86.60 87.33	$\begin{array}{c} 87.36 \pm 0.88 \\ 88.28 \pm 0.71 \\ 88.91 \pm 0.63 \end{array}$
desert	8.7 10.8 12	94.35 95.19 95.59	94.60 95.50 95.82	95.19 95.82 96.20	95.03 95.62 95.90	91.84 93.11 93.76	90.85 92.52 93.46	89.28 91.40 92.75	$93.00 \pm 1.78$ $94.15 \pm 1.35$ $94.77 \pm 1.07$

Weighted daily means according to the number of night pixels of the hourly pixels' agreement (in %) between NWCSAF CMa & GERB IR cloud masks

- No channel is statistically suitable for each surface type
- Selection of the channels associated to the highest mean agreement and lowest uncertainties: 8.7 µm for ocean, 12 µm for land



## Results

ъπ	1.1	1.1	
IVI	otiv	atio	ns

- Constraints
- Algorithm
- Results
- Comparisons
- Datasets
- Merging scheme
- Results
- Limitations
- Further work

Better than MPEF CLM for nighttime
Worse for daytime since no use of visible bands

 Better anytime when supplemented with NWCSAF spatial filtering

Cloud mask	Geotype					
	ocean	vegetation	desert	all		
MPEF CLM GERB IR GERB IR+	85.73 85.94 91.26	88.70 88.91 90.57	91.19 94.77 96.07	87.20 87.84 91.72		
	Ni	ghttime				
Cloud mask		Geotyp	pe			
	ocean	vegetation	desert	all		
MPEF CLM GERB IR GERB IR+	84.13 82.03 88.01	89.24 82.98 85.87	90.27 93.19 94.06	86.21 83.71 88.26		
Daytime						
Cloud mask	Geotype					
	ocean	vegetation	desert	all		
MPEF CLM GERB IR GERB IR+	84.93 83.98 89.63	88.97 85.94 88.22	90.73 93.99 95.07	86.70 85.78 89.98		

Alltime

CERES/GERB/ScaRaB Joint Science Team Meeting @ Princeton – October 22–25 2012



## Limitations

- Motivations
- Constraints
- Algorithm
- Results
- Comparisons
- Datasets
- Merging scheme
- Results
- Limitations
- Further work

- Decrease of performance for  $\theta > 70^{\circ}$  (limb darkening)
- Low warm clouds over ocean due to low BT contrast ( $\approx 1$  K)
- Cloud edges in broken cloud fields



March 11 2007 at 00:00 UTC



# **Further work**

- Motivations
- Constraints
- Algorithm
- Results
- Comparisons
- Further work

- Low clouds over ocean detected with  $BTD_{12-3.9} > 4.25 \text{ K}$ (night)
  - Use of 3.9 µm or BTD<sub>12-3.9</sub> in *temporal* clustering scheme ?
- $\Delta$  should vary according to  $\theta$ :
  - SEVIRI BTs with NWCSAF cloud mask climatology

	Cloud mask	Geotype					
		ocean	vegetation	desert	all		
	MPEF CLM GERB IR GERB IR+	85.73 85.94 91.26	88.70 88.91 90.57	91.19 94.77 96.07	87.20 87.84 91.72		
		Nighttime sk Geotype					
	Cloud mask						
		ocean	vegetation	desert	all		
-	MPEF CLM GERB IR GERB IR+	85.73 86.34 91.49	88.70 88.91 90.57	91.19 94.77 96.07	87.20 88.09 91.86		

Nighttime with  $BTD_{12-3.9}$  threshold test

• Length of time-series varying from pixel to pixel ?